

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 31ST OCTOBER 2007 / 9TH KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 1059 of 2007(F)
IN W.P.(C) 18746 OF 2007.

REVIEW PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT IN WP(C):

THE SECRETARY,
KOYILANDY TALUK AGRICULTURAL CO-OPERATIVE
MARKETING SOCIETY LTD. NO.F.1789, KOYILANDY.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.JACOB

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1,3 & 4 IN WP(C):

1. ABHILASH K.V., AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O. LATE KUNHIKRISHNAN NAIR, THEKKAYIL HOUSE,
PERAMBRA P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.
2. KOYILANDY TALUK AGRICULTURAL
CO-OPERATIVE MARKETING SOCIETY LTD.NO.F.1789,
KOYILANDY, REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT.
3. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, KOYILANDY.
4. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (G), KOZHIKODE.

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI. GEORGE ABRAHAM.
R3 & R4 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. ANU SIVARAMAN.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 31/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

R.P. 1059/2007 in WP.(C).18746/2007

Dated this the 31st day of October, 2007

ORDER

The short ground apparently raised in the review petition is that the first respondent has not filed Ext.P2 before him. Learned counsel for the first respondent would point out that it may not be correct and that Ext.P5 would show that the matter was considered by the Joint Registrar. Whatever that be, learned counsel for the review petitioner would point out that the review petitioner will consider and take a decision on Ext.P2 filed along with the writ petition.

Recording the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is prepared to consider and take a decision on Ext.P2 filed along with the writ petition, the review petition is closed.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb